

ROBERTA CROSS GUNS
Special Assistant Attorney General
Montana State Auditor
840 Helena Avenue
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 444-2040

Attorney for Securities Department

BEFORE THE STATE AUDITOR;
EX-OFFICIO COMMISSIONER OF SECURITIES AND INSURANCE
HELENA, MONTANA

IN THE MATTER OF:)	Case No.: SEC-2008-65
)	I-10-08-08-280
DANIEL TWO FEATHERS, aka DAN LATHAM,)	
aka OAHEYO TWO FEATHERS, individually and in)	THIRD AMENDED NOTICE OF
his capacity as agent for the named respondent)	PROPOSED AGENCY
companies; SHAWN SWOR, individually and in his)	DISCIPLINARY ACTION and
capacity as agent for the named respondent)	OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING
companies; TLT HOLDINGS CORPORATION; DTF)	
CONSULTING GROUP TRUST; DTF)	
CONSULTING GROUP; and HARVEST)	
INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, LTD., TERRENCE)	
PAULIN, individually and in his capacity as an)	
agent for the named respondent companies, ERIC)	
SCHULTZ, individually and in his capacity as the)	
owner agent for BIG SKY EQUITY, INC. and as)	
an agent for the named respondent companies,)	
BIG SKY EQUITY, INC., a Bozeman, Montana)	
investment company, and ANDRE CURTIS,)	
individually and in his capacity as an agent for the)	
named respondent companies.)	
)	
THERESA CHABOT, individually and in her)	
capacity as an unregistered investment advisor.)	
)	
GLOBAL HOLDINGS GROUP, LLC)	
611 8th Ave. N.)	
Surfside Beach, SC 29575)	
)	
JOHN and JANE DOES 1-5.)	

Respondents.

Staff of the Securities Department (hereafter "Department") of the office of the State Auditor as Commissioner of Securities of the state of Montana (hereafter "Commissioner"), pursuant to the authority of the Securities Act of Montana, §§30-10-101, *et seq.*, MCA (2007), is proposing to the Commissioner that he take specific action against DANIEL TWO FEATHERS, aka DAN LATHAM, aka OAHEYO TWO FEATHERS (hereafter "Two Feathers,") individually and in his capacity as agent of TLT Holdings Corporation, DTF Consulting Group Trust, DTF Consulting Group, and Harvest Investment Holdings, Ltd; SHAWN SWOR (hereafter "Swor") individually and in his capacity as agent of TLT Holdings Corporation, DTF Consulting Group Trust, DTF Consulting Group, and Harvest Investment Holdings, Ltd; TLT HOLDINGS CORPORATION; DTF CONSULTING GROUP TRUST; DTF CONSULTING GROUP; and HARVEST INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, LTD., **TERRENCE PAULIN (hereafter "Paulin"), individually and in his capacity as agent for the named respondent companies, ERIC SCHULTZ (hereafter "Schultz"), individually and in his capacity as owner and agent for BIG SKY EQUITY, INC., and the other named respondent companies, BIG SKY EQUITY, INC, and ANDRE CURTIS (hereafter "Curtis") individually and in his capacity as an agent for DTF Consulting Group Trust, DTF Consulting Group and Harvest Investment Holdings, Ltd., THERESA CHABOT (hereafter "Chabot") individually and in her capacity as an unregistered investment advisor, and GLOBAL HOLDING GROUP, LLC (hereafter "Global Holdings")** for violations of the Montana Securities Act. The Commissioner has authority to take such action under the provisions of §§ 30-10-102, 30-10-107, 30-10-201, 30-10-202, 30-10-301, 30-10-304, 30-10-305, and 30-10-309, (2007) MCA.

In particular, the Department's staff is recommending specific action against these Respondents including imposition of appropriate fines and appropriate restitution, with interest, pursuant to the provisions of the Montana Securities Act.

Service of process is pursuant to § 30-10-107 (8), (2007) MCA.

REASONS FOR ACTION

There is probable cause to believe that the following facts, if true, justify and support such specific action.

ALLEGATIONS

1. This case was initiated as the result of a telephone call from a Texas resident identified herein as Complainant 1 on or about October 7, 2008. Complainant 1 alleged Two Feathers, through TLT Holdings Corporation (TLT), convinced Complainant 1 to invest \$100,000 owned by Investor 1¹ in early September 2008 in an investment platform that would provide a return on the investment of between 200% - 400% per week. The investment platform was to provide a weekly return but Investor 1 had yet to receive a payment. Complainant 1 alleged Two Feathers would not return telephone calls and had "run off" with the money Investor 1 had invested. Complainant 1 provided the Department copies of all of the documentation Complainant 1 had regarding Investor 1's involvement with Two Feathers.

2. Two Feathers lists his occupation as a "consultant". He receives mail at P.O. Box 996, Hamilton, Montana, but resides at 668 Foley Lane, Hamilton, Montana. Two Feathers signs his name as "General Manager" for TLT Holdings Corporation. Two Feathers is listed as a director of DTF Consulting Group Trust, uses the e-mail address of dtf_consulting@live.com, and owns and controls account #066-6-00030 at Penson Financial Services, Inc. entitled DTF

¹ Investor 1 is a company with which Complainant 1 is affiliated.

Consulting Group Trust. Two Feathers is listed as the registered agent for DTF Consulting Group Trust with the Montana Secretary of State.

3. Two Feathers is also known as Dan Latham. Dan Latham was charged and convicted in federal courts in the Eastern and Southern districts of New York of conspiracy to defraud the United States, money laundering and creating or using fictitious obligations. These convictions occurred in 1999 and resulted in Dan Latham/Two Feathers serving approximately 41 months in federal prison, as well as three years of supervised release. As a result of these convictions, Two Feathers was ordered to pay restitution of \$5,162,558.00. It is unknown if any restitution was paid by Two Feathers.

4. Swor appears to be the sole owner of Platinum Mortgage Corp., located at 1709 South Ave. W., Missoula, Montana. Swor is also a director of DTF Consulting Group Trust and signs documents on behalf of the trust as a Trustee.

5. TLT Holdings Corporation lists its address as P.O. Box 996, Hamilton, MT 59840, the same mailing address as Two Feathers. TLT Holdings has not filed with the Montana Secretary of State's office. TLT Holdings Corporation also discloses itself as being incorporated in Panama and discloses Antonia Ojo as its president. Its Panama address is IPASA Building, 3rd Floor, 41st Street, Panama City, Republic of Panama. Two Feathers signs as General Manager of TLT Holdings and the corporation use a corporate seal with the "State of Montana" embossed on it. On its letter head it states "Developers of Intellectual Property." At the bottom of the TLT Holdings letterhead the email address identified for the company is the same one used by Two Feathers: dtf_consulting@live.com.

6. DTF Consulting Group Trust filed with the Montana Secretary of State on February 26, 2008, listed its purpose code as "management and/or consultant", identifies Two

Feathers as its registered agent, and disclosed its business address as 1709 South Ave. W., Missoula, MT 59801, the same address as Swor's mortgage company. In minutes of the DTF Consulting Group Trust dated March 7, 2008, it named Two-Feathers as Managing Director and sets forth Two Feathers, Shawn Swor and André Curtis as authorized signatories for banking purposes. On or about June 6, 2008, Two Feathers signed as Secretary of the Trust in an application for a corporate account for DTF Consulting Group Trust with Penson Financial Services. On or about July 7, 2008, Two Feathers disclosed that he is the Treasurer for the Trust in an application for a second account with Penson Financial Services for DTF Consulting Group Trust.

7. Harvest Investment Holdings, LTD lists its business address as Carmel Building, 61 King Street, RM 19, Hamilton, Pembroke, Bermuda. In its Certificate of Incumbency² it lists André Curtis (hereafter "Curtis") as Director/President. Curtis is also a signatory for DTF Consulting Group Trust.

8. Two Feathers opened an account with D.A. Davidson on or about September 4, 2008. The account was set up as a transfer-on-death account and lists Pamela Thomas as the 100% beneficiary in the event of Two Feathers' death.

9. On or about August 29, 2008, Two Feathers opened an account with EKN Financial Services (hereafter "EKN") in his name only. On or about September 4, 2008 an account was opened with EKN for Harvest Investment Holdings, Ltd., attention to Curtis.

² A Certificate of Incumbency is an internal company's document confirming the authorities of the officer. A Certificate of Incumbency has various other names; it is also known as an Incumbency Certificate, a Certificate of Officers, an Officer Certificate, a Register of Directors, and as a Secretary Certificate. It is signed by the Secretary or any other company officer. This document is usually required by receiving organizations in foreign countries to make sure that the person who signed the documents on behalf of the company is actually authorized to do so.

10. On or about June 5, 2008, an account was opened with NWT Financial Group through its clearing firm, Penson Financial Services. The account indicates it is owned by DTF Consulting Group Trust with Two Feathers and Curtis as trustees at Two Feathers' residential address, 668 Foley Lane, Hamilton, Montana. Two Feathers is the signatory on the account. DTF Consulting Group Trust uses Tax ID 26-6259802 for this account.

11. On or about June 5, 2008, an account was opened with First Bermuda Securities, Ltd, through its clearing firm, Penson Financial Services. The account indicates it is owned by DTF Consulting Group Trust with Swor and Two Feathers as trustees at Two Feathers' residential address, 668 Foley Lane, Hamilton, Montana. Two Feathers is the signatory on the account. DTF Consulting Group Trust uses Tax ID 26-6259802 for this account.

12. Complainant 1 provided the Department with copies of documents, spreadsheets and e-mail correspondence regarding securities transactions Complainant 1 had with Two Feathers. Complainant 1, on behalf of a Texas community restoration and redevelopment company identified herein as "Investor 1," entered into a Private Placement Manager Investment Agreement (hereafter "Agreement") with Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation on or about September 10, 2008. As part of the identifiers in the Agreement, Two Feathers shows his email address as dtf_consulting@live.com. Pursuant to the Agreement, Investor 1 wired \$100,000.00 to Two Feathers' personal account at EKN Financial Services³. In the Agreement, Two Feathers promised Investor 1 a minimum weekly investment return of at least 200% and a maximum weekly investment return of no more than 400%. The Agreement provided for a distribution of investment return on a weekly basis. In a spreadsheet Two Feathers provided Investor 1, he promised a gross return on investment in week #1 of \$30,600.00, a gross return on

³ The transaction was cleared through Legent Clearing, LLC.

investment in week #2 of \$36,900.00 with the return growing in week #20 to \$5,106,600.00. After a 40-week period, Two Feathers promised a return on the original \$100,000 of 2,553%.

13. Investor 1 wired \$100,000.00 directly into Two Feathers' personal account at EKN on September 11, 2008. On or about September 12, 2008, Two Feathers purchased \$340,000.00 face value U. S. Treasury STRIPS⁴ due February 15, 2038, in his account for \$99,510.00. On or about September 23, 2008, Two Feathers instructed EKN to wire the position to his account at D. A. Davidson & Co. These instructions included Two Feathers' email address of dtf_consulting@live.com. D. A. Davidson & Co. rejected this incoming transfer because of Two Feather's suspicious activities related to this and other activities described below in the Two Feathers D.A. Davidson account.

14. In an e-mail from Two Feathers to Complainant 1 dated September 14, 2008, Two Feathers tells Complainant 1 that he has purchased \$344,000.00 in US Treasury STRIPS, although he had only purchased \$340,000.00 in US Treasury STRIPS. The email came from Two Feathers' email address at dtf_consulting@live.com. In this September 14, 2008 email Two Feathers also states

"these [STRIPS] are scheduled to be liquidated during the day tomorrow. Your share of the profits on this liquidation is \$10,320. I will compound the funds and our next purchase will be approximately \$448,000 in Strip Treasuries. The new purchase will take place tomorrow, provided the liquidation funds clear the account tomorrow in time to allow us to make the purchase."

15. On or about September 22, 2008, Complainant 1 sent an e-mail to Two Feathers at his email address of dtf_consulting@live.com asking to draw down funds in Investor 1's account, leaving \$400,000.00 in the account. Complainant 1 provided Two Feathers with wire

⁴ STRIPS, an acronym for separate trading of registered interest and principal of securities, are special issues of US Treasury zero-coupon bonds. They're created and sold by brokerage firms, not by the government. The bonds are prestripped, which means that the issue is separated into the principal and a series of individual interest payments, and each of those parts is offered separately as a zero-coupon security.

instructions on where to send the draw. Two Feathers responded to this e-mail on September 24, 2008, scolding Complainant 1 for calling him because calls to him "slows the process down and then the returns aren't anywhere near what you are looking for." He blames his delay in sending Investor 1 the requested draw on the "Broker/Dealer/Trader's refusal to follow instructions and conduct himself in a proper and ethical manner." He states he is filing suit against the firm and promises to get Complainant 1 the requested payout within a week. Investor 1 has not received any payouts of investment returns nor has it received the requested draw. There was never any buying or selling of the US Treasury STRIPS as Two Feathers had represented.

16. On or about September 26, 2008, Two Feathers sent a letter to Curtis of Harvest Investment Holdings with instructions to wire US Treasury STRIPS from a Harvest Investment Holdings account located at EKN. The letter was on TLT Holdings letterhead and included the email address of dtf_consulting@live.com. This letter also included instructions to wire the \$340,000 US Treasury STRIPS in Two Feathers' personal EKN account to the Luzerner Kantonalbank in Switzerland. Two Feathers, in a letter dated September 16, 2008, instructs EKN to wire these positions to the Luzerner Kantonalbank in Switzerland. The letter was on TLT Holdings letterhead and included the email address of dtf_consulting@live.com. The positions and proceeds in both the Harvest Investment Holdings and Two Feathers accounts held at EKN are currently enjoined by the Lewis & Clark District court.

17. The Department discovered another investor when it received a call from D. A. Davidson & Co.'s compliance department indicating a North Carolina citizen's attorney was demanding a return of funds from Two Feather's account. The Department spoke with the North Carolina citizen, identified herein as Complainant 2, on or about October 16, 2008, regarding Complainant 2's involvement with Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation.

18. The Department discovered Complainant 2, on behalf of his real estate investment company identified herein as Investor 2, entered into a Private Placement Manager Investment Agreement with Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation on or about September 17, 2008. Investor 2 wired \$750,000 to Two Feather's personal account at D. A. Davidson & Co. On September 19, 2008, Two Feathers withdrew \$50,000.00 from this account in a check made out to him personally. In the Agreement, Two Feathers promised to provide a minimum weekly investment return of at least 200% and a maximum weekly investment return of no more than 400%. The Agreement provided for a distribution of investment return on a weekly basis. The Agreement included in the identifiers for Two Feathers his email address at dtf_consulting@live.com.

19. On September 19, 2008, Two Feathers purchased \$2,477,000.00 in US Treasury STRIPS with a maturity date of May 15, 2038 for \$699,806.89. On September 24, 2008, Two Feathers instructed D. A. Davidson to wire the \$2,477,000.00 US Treasury STRIPS position to Luzerner Kantonalbank bank in Switzerland.

20. In an e-mail from dtf_consulting@live.com to Investor 2's attorney dated September 19, 2008, Two Feathers states that approximately \$2.4 million in US STRIPS were purchased for \$750,000.00 on behalf of Investor 2. In fact, Two Feathers took \$50,000.00 for himself and purchased the STRIPS for \$699,806.89. Two Feathers went on to indicate that the position "will be liquidated and [Two Feathers] will compound the buying power on Tuesday and go again." In fact, on September 24, 2008, Two Feathers attempted to wire the position to Switzerland so he could obtain his own personal line of credit against the position instead of initiating the investment platform of buying and selling the position as he had promised.

21. Two Feathers provided Complainant 2 a spreadsheet that indicated a weekly gross profit from the continual buying and selling of this US Treasury STRIPS position would be approximately \$153,000.00 the first week, \$263,700.00 the second week, with the return growing to as much as \$475,200.00 per week by week 20. Investor 2 has received no investment return payouts.

22. D. A. Davidson's Compliance Department became suspicious of the activity in Two Feathers' account when he became unreasonably demanding, expressing great urgency about wiring the money to Switzerland, and after he drove five hours from his home to D. A. Davidson's headquarters to demand the money be wired immediately. D. A. Davidson conducted an internet search on Two Feathers and discovered he had been imprisoned for wire fraud. D. A. Davidson immediately contacted the F.B.I.

23. At present, \$1,727,000 in US Treasury STRIPS is enjoined by the Lewis & Clark District Court from being wired from D. A. Davidson. A portion of the STRIPS purchased in this transaction were wired before D. A. Davidson & Co. became suspicious.

24. The Department was contacted by a resident of Poland, identified herein as Complainant 3 who had conducted an internet search on Two Feathers based on concerns regarding a proposed investment Two Feathers was offering to the resident of Poland's adult child, identified herein as Investor 3. Investor 3 resides in Switzerland. The internet search resulted in Complainant 3 locating the Department's contact information from the district court filings resulting in the injunctions identified herein. The contact with the Department was made on or about October 21, 2008.

25. Based on information and documents provided by Complainant 3, it appears Two Feathers was trying to convince Investor 3 to invest in US Treasury STRIPS. It appears Investor

3 was introduced to Two Feathers through a man identified as Dr. Nosa Igiehon of London. Sales materials provided to the Department indicate Investor 3 could purchase a \$1 billion 30-year US Treasury STRIPS at 34% of face value and then sell it immediately for 39% of face value. Documentation provided to the Department indicates that the individual putting together the investment platform was Dan Two Feathers, Trustee for DTF Consulting Group Trust.

26. The Department's review of the information provided regarding Investor 3's involvement with Two Feathers indicates Two Feathers, as a trustee for DTF Consulting Group Trust, offered to sell Investor 3 on or about August 21, 2008, a \$1 billion U.S. Treasury Strip due February 15, 2038, for \$34,000,000.00. The marketing materials provided to Investor 3 indicated there was a new buyer who was willing to immediately repurchase these strips for \$39,000,000.00 for a \$5,000,000.00 immediate return on Investor 3's investment. Two Feathers provided Investor 3 a "Corporate Invoice No. 08082008PI" instructing her to wire \$34,000,000.00 to J P Morgan Chase Bank, NYC, for deposit to DTF Consulting Group Trust's account at Penson Financial Services. Two Feathers signed the letter and affixed the DTF Consulting Group Trust corporate seal (State of Montana) on the invoice. Investor 3 did not purchase the STRIPS.

27. The Department spoke with a partner of a company identified herein as Lender 1 after the Department discovered the Swiss Bank account to which Two Feathers has attempted to wire Investor 1's US Treasury STRIPS position and Investor 2's US Treasury STRIPS position belonged to another partner of Lender 1. The partner who spoke with the Department is identified herein as Complainant 4.

28. Lender 1's partners work to provide international lines of credit. Complainant 4 was introduced via telephone to Two Feathers by an individual identified as Rick Hamilton from

California. Two Feathers agreed to wire US Treasury STRIPS as collateral to the Luzerner Kantonalbank in Switzerland. In exchange for depositing this collateral at the bank, Lender 1 would agree to give Two Feathers a line of credit equal to 38% of the face value of the US Treasury STRIPS Two Feathers assigned to Lender 1.

29. Two Feathers entered into a "Collateral Secured Credit Agreement" with Complainant 4's partner whereas the borrower would be TLT Holdings Corporation. In the agreement, Two Feathers agreed to provide \$1,040,000.00 in US Treasury STRIPS due February 15, 2038 as collateral. Complainant 4's partner, acting on behalf of Lender 1, agreed to advance Two Feathers 38% of the face value of the collateral, or approximately \$395,200.00, at a rate of 6% per annum. Two Feathers agreed to assign the collateral to the credit facilitator. In addition, Two Feathers provided a Non-Criminal Declaration to Lender 1 and Complainant 4's partner that stated the following:

That I [Two Feathers] am the lawful owner of 30 year stripped treasuries issued by United States Treasury bearing cusip/isin number 912834AE8
I [Two Feathers] confirm that these bonds were obtained with good clean monies of non criminal origin
My [Two Feathers] warrant states that I [Two Feathers] have engaged a qualified registered securities dealer of investment grade collaterals and or securities to acquire the bonds
Herein I [Two Feathers] confirm as purpose of record that I own the below identified certificates issued by United States Treasury.

30. Lender 1 did not receive the full \$1,040,000 in US Treasury STRIPS from Two Feathers because the First Judicial District Court of Montana enjoined the accounts from which Two Feathers hoped to transfer the assets.

31. The collateral that was wired is being returned pursuant to the injunction issued by the First Judicial District Court of Montana. Other collateral promised has not been wired pursuant to the injunction issued by the First Judicial District Court of Montana.

32. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it does not appear TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) or Two Feathers (as salesperson) informed Complainant 1, Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, or Investor 3 about the financial condition of TLT Holdings Corporation.

33. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears that TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) or Two Feathers (as salesperson) did not provide specific information to Complainant 1, Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, Investor 3, or Lender 1 about how the rates of return were to be generated.

34. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears that TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) or Two Feathers (as salesperson) did not provide background information to Complainant 1, Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, Investor 3, or Lender 1 regarding the directors, officers or other persons having similar status or performing similar functions for TLT Holdings Corporation, including but not limited to, their names, principal occupation for the previous five years, ownership or interest held by each person and did not provide disclosure regarding the risks involved with the investment.

35. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears that TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) and Two Feathers (as salesperson) misrepresented to Complainant 1, Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, Investor 3 the fact that, under no set of circumstances, could a 200% - 400% weekly rate of return be generated from positions in U.S. Treasury STRIPS.

36. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears that TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) and Two Feathers (as salesperson) did not disclose to Complainant 1,

Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, Investor 3 the fact that their monies and investments would be held in Two Feathers' personal accounts.

37. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears that TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) and Two Feathers (as salesperson) did not disclose to Complainant 1, Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, Investor 3, or Lender 1 the fact that they would use investors' assets to secure personal lines of credit for themselves.

38. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears that TLT Holdings Corporation (as issuer) and Two Feathers (as salesperson) did not disclose to Complainant 1, Investor 1, Complainant 2, Investor 2, Investor 3, or Lender 1 the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to any regulatory or criminal action issued against the issuer or its officers or directors.

39. Based on the information reviewed by the Department, it appears Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation may be operating a Ponzi scheme. Two Feathers has promised to pay Investors 1, 2 and 3 abnormally high returns which it appears he intends to pay from money paid to him by subsequent investors, rather than from net revenues generated by any real business.

40. The Department received a copy of a complaint from an intermediary, filed on behalf of Complainant 5, a family, in regards to a loan arrangement with DTF Consulting Group Trust. The complaint was originally filed with the Montana Department of Administration's Banking Division but was forwarded to the Department due to the fact that advance-fee loans are investment contracts regulated by the Department.

41. A review of the documentation provided by Complainant 5 indicates that on or about May 19, 2008, Complainant 5 wired \$100,000.00 to Shawn Swor/DTF Consulting Group

Trust as an advance-fee for a \$10,000,000.00 loan Swor claimed he had arranged for Complainant 5. Swor issued a "Conditional Funding Approval" letter on DTF Consulting Group Trust letterhead on or about May 28, 2008, outlining the terms of the \$10,000,000.00 loan. DTF Consulting Group was identified as the "funder" for the loan in the documentation provided. The terms indicated funding of the \$10,000,000.00 loan was to take place on or before July 22, 2008.

42. In a letter dated June 27, 2008 to Complainant 5, Swor indicated the loan funds would be dispersed after July 15 but before July 22, 2008. Swor wrote a second letter (undated) that stated the following:

[D]ue to the economic down turn in the economy the money being provided to fund your file needs an insurance wrap from a large insurance carrier with a great credit rating. Our compliance office is taking care of this process. In the meantime they are letting us provide you with a proof of funds for the funding of your loan. Your loan will fund in the next 5 to 7 business days according to our compliance department. We at DTF Consulting Group Trust will provide proof of funds by 2:00 Eastern Standard Time Wednesday 7/30/2008.

43. To date, Complainant 5 has not received the \$10,000,000.00 loan and has not received a return of the \$100,000 advance-fee. Their intermediary has attempted to contact Swor about the matter and told the Department Swor has indicated he cannot return the advance-loan fee because of "car troubles, a sick child and because he is hunting ram."

44. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it does not appear DTF Consulting Group Trust (as issuer) and Swor (as salesperson) informed Complainant 5 about the financial condition of DTF Consulting Group Trust.

45. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears DTF Consulting Group Trust (as issuer) and Swor (as salesperson) did not provide specific information about how the proposed loan funds were to be generated.

46. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears DTF Consulting Group Trust (as issuer) and Swor (as salesperson) did not provide background information on the directors, officers or other persons having similar status or performing similar functions for DTF Consulting Group Trust, including but not limited to, their names, principal occupation for the previous five years, ownership or interest held by each person.

47. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears DTF Consulting Group Trust (as issuer) and Swor (as salesperson) did not provide disclosure regarding the risks involved with the investment.

48. Based on information reviewed by the Department, it appears DTF Consulting Group Trust (as issuer) and Swor (as salesperson) did not disclose the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers or directors.

49. On or about November 14, 2008, the Department received a new complaint. A person identified herein as Investor 4 contacted the Department after performing an online search regarding Two Feathers and DTF Consulting. Investor 4 is from Los Angeles, California. Investor 4 invested \$50,000 with Two Feathers and Swor in May 2008 and has yet to receive any payments pursuant to the return promised.

50. Investor 4, along with a partner, identified herein as Investor 5, were solicited to invest \$50,000.00 each in a "Leverage Program" offered by DTF Consulting Group in March 2008. Investors 4 and 5 met Two Feathers and Swor in Tennessee on April 11, 2008. Two Feathers and Swor identified themselves at this meeting as trustees of DTF and told these investors the Leverage Program Two Feathers and Swor were offering

involved a form of bank to bank trading, much like a certificate of deposit, and involved a foreign financial institution.

51. Two Feathers and Swor promised Investors 4 and 5 a monthly return of at least \$1,500,000.00 per month for 12 months on the Leverage Program investment. Investors 4 and 5 were given a Leverage Program spreadsheet setting forth "Levels of Leverage." Per the "Levels of Leverage" formula, a \$50,000.00 investment would return \$400,000,000.00 after only 11 months of reinvestment. The Leverage Program spreadsheet indicated that there was minimal risk associated with the program, that the instruments backing the investment were AA rated, cash backed and that they were backed by a "Line of Credit."

52. Two Feathers asked Investors 4 and 5 to share a portion of the investment's return with Two Feather's daughter's school, the Waldorf School in Montana.

53. Investor 5 became suspicious of Two Feathers after discovering his last name was really "Latham" and that he had served time in prison. Investor 5 did not invest with Two Feathers, Swor or DTF Consulting.

54. Investor 4 met with Two Feathers in San Diego and confronted him with these facts. Two Feathers told Investor 4 that Two Feathers had come to terms with his past misconduct, had paid his debt to society and presented Investor 4 with a financial trading instrument which Two Feathers represented was signed by Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson and provided a \$1.5 billion line of credit for Two Feathers. This line of credit document is fraudulent.

55. Investor 4 entered into a written "Proposal" with DTF Consulting on April 29, 2008 to invest \$50,000.00 for a minimum of 50 trust certificate units. Investor 4

subsequently invested \$50,000.00 in the Leverage Program and wired the money to First Interstate Bank, in Billings, Montana, f/b/o D. A. Davidson for the account of DTF Consulting Group, on or about May 6, 2008.

56. The Proposal was signed by Two Feathers as Secretary for the Board of Trustees of DTF Consulting Group. DTF Consulting Group issued to Investor 4 Certificate 3001081017 for 50 units on April 30, 2008. The Certificate is signed by Two-Feathers and has a DTF Consulting Group Trust Corporate Seal for the State of Montana on it.

57. Investor 4's \$50,000.00 was never invested in any AA rated securities. No CDs were ever purchased with Investor 4's \$50,000.00. The money was subsequently wired overseas in June 2008 per instructions to D.A. Davidson from Two Feathers.

58. In September 2008 Swor contacted Investor 4 to indicate DTF had abandoned its original Leverage Program and would, instead, be purchasing US Treasury STRIPS for an investment platform. Swor told Investor 4 that the guaranteed monthly return was going to drop to approximately \$200,000 a month. To date, Investor 4 has not received any monthly income payments nor a return of his investment.

59. Through the Department's investigation it discovered additional victims of the Respondents. In or near March 2008, Two Feathers solicited Investor 6 and Investor 7, who were business partners. Investors 6 and 7 reside in the state of Washington and were solicited by Dan Two Feathers to invest in a "Leverage Program" that would provide a progressive monthly return dependent upon the level of investment. According to Two Feathers, an investment of \$50,000 would provide a monthly return of 2%, whereas an investment of \$1,000,000 would provide a monthly return of 40%.

60. Investors 6 and 7 invested \$500,000 over a short period of time in the Leverage Program after being promised a 10% monthly return, beginning with a \$100,000 wire to DTF Consulting Group's bank account at Farmer's State Bank in Victor, Montana on April 2, 2008. Additional wires for \$200,000 on April 10, 2008, and \$200,000 on April 23, 2008 were sent by Investors 6 and 7 to the same DTF Consulting Group account. Two Feathers, signing as Secretary for the DTF Consulting Group Trust Board of Trustees, accepted the investments and issued 200 trust certificates to Investor 6 DBA Certa Investments, LLC on April 1, 2008.

61. In a spreadsheet illustrating the "levels of leverage" provided by Two Feathers, it was represented that Investors 6's and 7's \$500,000 investment would purchase an instrument with a face value of \$250,000,000. On May 12, 2008 Two Feathers returned \$100,000 of the investment to Investors 6 and 7 after they demanded a portion of the money back. To date, they have received no other return on the investment and have not received their principal back or any statements showing the balance of their investments.

62. The Department's investigation uncovered additional victims. Investors 8 and 9 are friends with Investor 7. They were introduced to Two Feathers by Investor 7. Investors 8 and 9 were promised returns similar to Investor 7, however, they were told they would be investing in U.S. Treasury STRIPS and it was represented to them their money would double every month.

63. Investors 8 and 9 wired \$100,000 to DTF Consulting Group's bank account at Farmer's State Bank on April 3, 2008. They have received no evidence of ownership for their investment. To date, Investors 8 and 9 have not received any return on their investment and have not received their principal back, nor have they received any statements regarding their investment.

64. Investor 10 is a friend of Investors 6 and 7. Investor 10 was introduced to Two Feathers by Investor 7. Besides Two Feathers, Investor 10 also spoke with and corresponded with an individual identified as Terrence Sovereign of North Carolina. Investor 10 also believed Investor 10 was investing in a "Leverage Program" that consisted of U.S. Treasury STRIPS. Investor 10 was told by Two Feathers the invested money would double monthly.

65. Investor 10 wired \$100,000 to DTF Consulting Group's bank account at Farmer's State Bank on April 1, 2008. Investor 10 has not received any return on the \$100,000 investment, and has not received the principal back, nor has Investor 10 received any evidence of ownership for this investment.

66. Instead of purchasing investments with funds provided by Investors 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, Two Feathers and Swor spent a majority of these funds as follows:

Funds transferred to	Amount
Shawn Swor	\$ 156,017.55
Horizon Builders LLC (a business owned by Two Feather's	\$ 58,000.00
Charita Paulin	\$ 145,087.75
Paulin Family Trust	\$ 50,017.55
Personal travel	\$ 14,113.23
Cash for Two Feathers	\$ 13,500.00
Pay off Two Feather's Truck	\$ 3,284.03
Investment at D. A. Davidson & Co. in the name of DTF Consulting Group	\$ 100,000.00
Datsopoulous, MacDonald & Lind retainer for legal representation	\$ 10,000.00

67. The Department was contacted by Complainant 6 who is the CEO for Investor 11, a company in Lombard, Illinois. Complainant 6 indicated Investor 11 had transacted investments with Harvest Investment Holdings. Complainant 6 transacts

investment business for the benefit of Investor 11 through an entity entitled "TSC Holdings, Inc."

68. Complainant 6 was introduced to Andre Curtis and Harvest Investment Holdings, Inc. through Montana resident Eric Shultz (Schultz) and Minnesota resident Brian Smith (Smith). Schultz and Smith presented Complainant 6 with an investment platform that indicated that the investment of \$960,765 would provide an investment return of \$147,336.93 after 5 days, a second investment return of \$140,315.03 after 10 days, a third investment return of \$132,229.96 after 15 days, a fourth investment return of \$123,299.93 after 20 days and a fifth investment return of \$126,182.06 after 25 days for a total return of \$669,363.91 in 25 days, or a rate of return of 65.75%.

69. Complainant 6, on behalf of Investor 11, executed a management contract on November 11, 2008, authorizing Harvest Investment Holdings to manage money for Investor 11. Additionally, Schultz provided an agreement on Big Sky Equity, Inc. letterhead which would require Investor 11 to pay intermediary fees of 15% of the gross amount of the periodic distribution from Harvest Investments LLC to Investor 11 and requested Complainant 6 sign the agreement on behalf of Investor 11. Smith presented Complainant 7 with a similar agreement.

70. Complainant 6 subsequently wired \$960,765 of Investor 11's money to Harvest Investment Holdings LTD on November 14, 2008 and \$1,000,000 to Harvest Investment Holdings on December 15, 2008. Both wires were sent to the Bank of Bermuda, LTD.

71. To date Investor 11 has received no return on the investments nor been provided a certificate or any form of evidence for these investments.

72. Eric Schultz resides in Bozeman, Montana and conducts business at 2233 W. Kagy Blvd., Suite 2, Bozeman, MT 59715. Schultz conducts business as a mortgage broker. He also owns an entity entitled Big Sky Equity, Inc., which was established as a close company on February 8, 2006 with the Montana Secretary of State. He is not now nor has he ever been registered in any capacity with the Montana Securities Department.

73. Schultz has raised \$740,000 in capital from six investors in Big Sky Equity⁵. Schultz told the Department he was introduced to an investment platform by Two Feathers after he met him in Swor's mortgage office. Schultz told the Department that Two Feathers professed to be a financial guru that could provide substantial investment returns on safe US Treasury notes. Schultz indicated Two Feathers introduced him to Andre Curtis.

74. Schultz invested \$200,000 of the money he got from the 6 investors in the Harvest Investment Holdings Ltd investment platform. According to Schultz, Curtis was investing the six investor's money in US Treasury STRIPS through EKN. Schultz told the Department that all of the money is currently "frozen" in restricted funds now due to the Lehman Brothers collapse. Schultz indicated investors have not received any returns on their money.

75. Terrence Paulin (Paulin) resides in Windmere Florida. Paulin is identified as a trustee for DTF Consulting Group Trust in documentation retained by the Montana Secretary of State.

76. The Department spoke with Investor 12 of Missoula, Montana regarding a \$125,000 investment Investor 12 made with Swor. Investor 12 told the Department that

⁵ These investors are identified in the additional information provided after paragraph 85 of this complaint added as part of the Third Amended Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action.

Investor 12 invested in an investment opportunity with Swor in June of 2008. Investor 12 indicated Swor promised a substantial rate of return on the investment. Investor 12 invested \$125,000 and believes Swor invested \$25,000 for a total investment of \$150,000.

77. Documentation provided to the Department by Investor 12 indicates Swor sold Investor 12 an investment in a "Global Master Securities Lending & Borrowing Agreement." Swor provided Investor 12 with documentation involving East Europe Investments, Ltd., of London, England and Five Star Trust of Lansing, Michigan, the organizers of the investment opportunity.

78. Documents provided to Investor 12 by Swor indicate the funds were to be used for the express purpose of "upgrading the entire existing US interstate highway system to provide a quieter, cleaner, safer, faster, cheaper and more efficient national transportation network..."

79. Investor 12 provided the Department documentation indicating that on June 27, 2008, \$150,000 was wired to the Bank of America in Chicago, IL. Five Star Trust provided a Promissory Note to Investor 12 and Swor dated June 25, 2008 demonstrating that it would pay \$350,000 within 10 banking days for the \$150,000 initial investment. Investor 12 told the Department that Paulin also spoke to Investor 12 and solicited Investor 12 to invest in the bogus project.

80. On November 20, 2008 Investor 12 received an e-mail from Swor regarding the investment and in it Swor told Investor 12 that "Terrence [Paulin] is reachable to talk about this [the investment]...Terrence who called the bank that is working on the deal." To date, Investor 12 has received no return on his investment nor has the principal been returned to him.

81. Complainant 7, President and Trustee for Investor 13, a non-profit organization located in Harleysville, Pennsylvania, contacted the Department in regard to business Investor 13 transacted with Two Feathers. Investor 13 entered into a TLT Holdings "Private Placement Manager Investment Agreement" in the amount of \$100,000 on or about August 25, 2008. Two Feathers signed the agreement as TLT Holding's General Manager.

82. The agreement between Investor 13 and TLT Holdings sets forth a minimum guaranteed weekly return of \$1,000,000 and a maximum guaranteed weekly return of \$3,200,000. Two Feathers provided Investor 13 a spreadsheet demonstrating it would make a \$14.888 million profit on the \$100,000 investment. Subsequently, Investor 13 wired \$100,000 to Penson Financial Services, clearing broker for First Bermuda Securities (BVI) Ltd., on or about August 27, 2008.

83. The new account form for DTF Consulting Group at Penson Financial Services identifies Two Feathers, Swor and Terrence Paulin as the trustees. The DTF Consulting Group account at Penson Financial Services through which Investor 13's funds were transferred to Bermuda identifies Two Feathers and Swor as the signatories. Swor's and Two Feathers' signatures on the new account form are denoted as "Signature Guaranteed"⁶ by First Bermuda Group, Ltd.

84. On or about August 29, 2008 Investor 13's funds were transferred from First Bermuda Securities to NWT Financial Group LLC, a broker-dealer firm in Issaquah, Washington. On or about September 4, 2008 the funds were again wired, this time to the

⁶ "Signature Guarantee" is similar to a notary public guarantee. The signature must be performed in person before the guarantor.

Bank of Bermuda, Ltd., with Harvest Investment Holdings Ltd., listed as the beneficiary of the funds.

85. No securities were ever purchased with Investor 13's funds. On September 29, 2008 the Investor 13 wrote a letter to Two Feathers and demanded a return of the money. It also threatened to contact the Securities & Exchange Commission. Investor 13 has not received any return on its investment or a return of the principal. Additionally, it has been provided no evidence of ownership for the investment.

86. On May 27, 2008, Schultz provided a written agreement to Investor 14 indicating that for an investment of \$100,000, Schultz would pay an investment return of \$1,000,000, with an initial investment return payment of \$500,000 to be paid on June 30, 2008 and a second investment return payment of \$500,000 to be paid on July 30, 2008. Investor 14 wired \$100,000 to Big Sky Equity's Mountain West Bank account in Bozeman on May 27, 2008.

87. On June 28, 2008 Schultz proposed a second agreement with Investor 14 indicating that for an investment of \$400,000, he would pay an investment return on September 6, 2008 of \$8,000,000. It appears Investor 14 wired \$400,000 to Big Sky Equity's Wells Fargo account in Bozeman on June 28, 2008, although the \$400,000 wire may have been rejected as NSF.

88. On September 9, 2008 Schultz amended the agreement with Investor 14. In the amendment Schultz states "Due to uncontrolled and unforeseen circumstances with Intermediaries project funding trader's health and a shortage of trade instruments or MTN's, this is an amendment to original IFA agreement with regard to time, funding amount, procedure and acknowledgment." In the amendment Schultz states that the

\$100,000 principal would be returned in 2 weeks upon signing of the amendment and stated that the investment return would be made within 30 days upon signing of the amendment.

89. Investor 14 was established for the purposes of “feeding the homeless, helping orphanages by clothing them in conjunction to feeding them too. We also help ‘free clinics’ here in the United States as well as in foreign countries in Central America with financial and medical resources.” Investor 14 also wants to open hospitals and give scholarships as well.

90. Schultz told the Department he was introduced to Investor 14 by Theresa Chabot (hereafter “Chabot”) of Kalispell, Montana, and he paid commission to Chabot indirectly by wiring commission to her paymaster, Barbara Johnson-Stokes (hereafter “Stokes”) Attorney Trust Account.

91. Investor 14 has not received any return on the investment nor has Investor 14 received a return of principal.

92. On or about June 6, 2008, Schultz provided a written agreement to Investor 15 indicating that for an investment of \$200,000, Schultz would pay an investment return of \$4,000,000 on September 6, 2008. Investor 15 wired \$200,000 to Big Sky Equity’s Wells Fargo account in Bozeman on or about June 6, 2008.

93. Also on June 6, 2008, Investor 15 signed an “Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay Order” agreeing to pay commissions of 20% of the “net returned distributional interest payment/investment resulting from any and all contracts” between Big Sky Equity, Inc., to Theresa Chabot, and others, as these entities were “intermediaries” between Investor 15 and Schultz. The Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay Order instructs Big Sky Equity to wire

the intermediary fees to Stokes' Attorney Trust Account for distribution by Stokes in her capacity as the "paymaster."

94. Investor 15 is located in South Hedland, Australia. Investor 15 indicates it has put together housing programs for the last 30 years for indigenous tribal groups in Western Australia.

95. To date Investor 15 has not received any return on the investment nor has Investor 14 received any return of its principal.

96. On June 24, 2008, Schultz provided a written agreement to Investor 16 indicating that for an investment of \$100,000, Schultz would pay an investment return of \$1,375,000 on September 6, 2008. Investor 16 wired \$100,000 to Big Sky Equity's Wells Fargo account in Bozeman on June 24, 2008.

97. On June 24, 2008, Investor 16 signed an "Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay Order" agreeing to pay commissions of 20% of the "net returned distributional interest payment/investment resulting from any and all contracts" between Big Sky Equity, Inc., to Theresa Chabot and others, as these entities were "intermediaries" between Investor 16 and Schultz. The Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay Order instructs Big Sky Equity to wire the intermediary fees to Stokes, Attorney Trust Account for distribution by Stokes in her capacity as the "paymaster."

98. Investor 16 states that its purpose is to provide training and greater job opportunities in the building and carpentry fields to apprentices of high school age that have not completed their high school education. Investor 16 is domiciled in New Jersey.

99. On September 9, 2008 Schultz amended the agreement with Investor 16. In the amendment Schultz states "Due to uncontrolled and unforeseen circumstances with

Intermediaries project funding trader's health and a shortage of trade instruments or MTN's, this is an amendment to original IFA agreement with regard to time, funding amount, procedure and acknowledgment." Schultz amended the investment return amount to \$1,250,000, stated the \$100,000 principal would be returned in 2 weeks upon signing of the amendment and stated that the return would be made within 30 days upon signing of the amendment.

100. Investor 16 has not received any return on the investment nor has Investor 16 received a return of principal.

101. On or about June 24, 2008 Schultz provided a written agreement to Investor 17 indicating that for an investment of \$140,000, Schultz would pay an investment return of \$2,800,000 on September 6, 2008. Investor 17 wired \$140,000 to Big Sky Equity on or about July 30, 2008.

102. On July 30, 2008, Investor 17 indicated the investment returns would be directed to an organization called Sabian's Hope Foundation, a foundation "working to make children's 'Once Upon a Times'...into Healthy, 'Happily Ever Afters.'" Schultz told the Department he was introduced to Investor 17 by Chabot and he paid commission to her indirectly by wiring commission to her paymaster, Stokes. Investor 17 is domiciled in Virginia.

103. On September 9, 2008 Schultz amended the original Irrevocable Fee Agreement. In the recital he wrote "this amendment to Section 1 is for the purpose of facilitating the distribution of funds to Beneficiary's specific project funding efforts through a Limited Joint Venture." The amendment changed the terms on payment of the \$2,800,000 investment return to 30 days of the date of this amended agreement.

104. To date, Investor 17 had not received any return on the investment as promised nor has Investor 17 received any return of principal.

105. On June 24, 2008 Schultz provided a written agreement to Investor 18 indicating that for a investment of \$100,000, Schultz would pay Investor 18 a return of \$1,375,000 on September 6, 2008. Investor 18 wired \$100,000 to Big Sky Equity, Inc.'s Wells Fargo Bank account in Bozeman, MT on or about June 24, 2008.

106. On June 24, 2008 Investor 18 signed an "Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay Order" agreeing to pay commissions of 20% of the "net returned distributional interest payment/investment resulting from any and all contracts" between Big Sky Equity, Inc., to Chabot, and others, as these entities were "intermediaries" between Investor 18 and Schultz. The Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay Order instructs Big Sky Equity to wire the intermediary fees to Stokes' Attorney Trust Account.

107. Schultz told the Department that Chabot introduced him to Investor 18. Investor 18 is a charitable foundation established for the purposes of working with local and county services providing financial assistance to abused children and spouses; working with local agencies to provide financial assistance for abused and neglected animals, especially dogs; financing research for Alzheimers, diabetes and heart disease; and providing funds to assist with the building of a new church, holiday dinners for the homeless and Christmas gifts for needy children. Investor 18 is domiciled in New Jersey.

108. On September 9, 2008 Schultz amended the original Irrevocable Fee Agreement with Investor 18. In the recital he wrote "Due to uncontrolled and unforeseen circumstances with Intermediaries projected funding trader's health and a shortage of trade instruments or MTN's, this is an amendment to the original IFA agreement with

regard to time, funding amount, procedure and acknowledgment.” Schultz ultimately changed the payment date for the \$1,375,000 investment return from September 6, 2008 to 30 days of the date of the amended agreement. Additionally, Schultz represented that the “intermediaries” would transfer back the \$100,000 in principal to Investor 18 within 2 weeks of the amendment. To date, Investor 18 has not received any return on investment nor a return of the \$100,000 principal as promised.

109. On June 28, 2008 Eric Schultz provided a written agreement to Investor 19 indicating that for an investment of \$200,000, Schultz would pay an investment return of \$4,000,000 on September 6, 2008. Investor 19 wired \$200,000 to Big Sky Equity’s Wells Fargo account in Bozeman on June 28, 2008. Schultz told the Department that Chabot introduced him to Investor 19.

110. On September 9, 2008 Schultz amended the agreement with Investor 19. In the amendment Schultz states “Due to uncontrolled and unforeseen circumstances with Intermediaries project funding trader’s health and a shortage of trade instruments or MTN’s, this is an amendment to original IFA agreement with regard to time, funding amount, procedure and acknowledgment.” In the amendment Schultz amended the investment return to \$2,500,000, and further states that the \$200,000 principal would be returned in 2 weeks upon signing of the amendment. Additionally, this amendment states that the return would be made within 30 days upon signing of the amendment.

111. Investor 19 is domiciled in Minnesota. Investor 19 is looking for several low income and special needs based housing projects in order to design it for abused women and children.

112. It appears Investor 19's funds were seized by Investor 19's bank because the funds may have been obtained through fraudulent acts by Investor 19.

113. On July 29, 2008 Schultz entered into an agreement with Investor 20 whereby Investor 20 would invest \$100,000 into a Private Placement Opportunity through Big Sky Equity. Schultz indicated Investor 20 would earn an investment return of \$1,375,000 within 8 weeks of investing. On September 10, 2008 Investor 20 wrote to Schultz and stated that Investor 20 was starting "The Smile Foundation", a foundation that will donate, travel abroad and connect with children that otherwise may never have an opportunity for free medical care. Investor 20 resides in California. It appears Investor 20 wired \$100,000 to Schultz in late July 2008.

114. On September 9, 2008 Schultz amended the original Irrevocable Fee Agreement with Investor 20. In the recital he wrote "this amendment to Section 1 is for the purpose of facilitating the distribution of funds to Beneficiary's specific project funding efforts through a Limited Joint Venture." The amendment changed the terms on payment of the \$1,375,000 return on investment to 30 days of the date of this amended agreement.

115. Schultz told the Department he was introduced to Investor 20 by Chabot and he paid commission to Chabot indirectly by wiring commission to her paymaster, Stokes.

116. To date, Investor 20 has not received any return on the investment as promised nor has Investor 20 received any return of principal.

117. It appears sometime during the summer of 2008 Investor 21 invested \$100,000 in Big Sky Equity. While no original documents are available, Schultz provided the Department with a copy of a September 9, 2008 amendment to its original agreement with Investor 21 that indicates Schultz had represented to he would provide an investment

return of \$1,375,000 on the \$100,000 principal within 30 days upon signing the agreement. The amendment changes the payment date of the investment return and promises to return the \$100,000 principal investment within 2 weeks of the signing of the amendment.

118. Investor 21 is domiciled in Georgia and Texas. Schultz told the Department Chabot introduced him to Investor 21. It appears Investor 21 has not received any return on the investment nor any return of principal.

119. On or about December 8, 2008 Schultz entered into an agreement with Investor 22 whereby he would invest \$100,000 into a Private Placement Opportunity through Big Sky Equity. Schultz told Investor 22 that Investor 22 would earn a "10-15% return on a weekly basis, with the return commencing on the 5th week of the funding timeframe and continuing for 24 weeks henceforth."

120. In a Performance Guarantee Agreement, Big Sky Equity promises a minimum 105% return on the \$100,000 due on or before June 30, 2009. On December 8, 2008 Investor 22 entered into a "Master Fee/Irrevocable Fee Agreement/Pay order" with an entity calling itself Consultant Group, whereby a fee of 10% of the gross returned distributional interest from the investment he made through Big Sky Equity would be sent to Stokes as escrow attorney for the Consultant Group. Chabot was the signatory for the Consultant Group. Investor 22 represented to Schultz that Investor 22 represented Desert Preservation, Inc. of Glendale, AZ. Investor 22 is domiciled in Florida.

121. The Department cannot determine whether Investor 22, on behalf of Desert Preservation, Inc., sent money to Big Sky Equity. On December 9, 2008 Schultz sent Investor 22 a letter with a subject line that reads "Private Equity Fund – Cease and Desist." In the letter Schultz tells Investor 22 "After our recent discussion about the

establishment of your account, I have concluded that you are not yet clear on the procedures and functions of our joint venture agreement. I am sorry, but I must issue a cease and desist on the progress we have made and any agreements are hereby null and void. Furthermore, we have discovered that the funds you have proposed for the private equity fund program will not be in your sold account and your sovereign ownership. Also, we have not yet discovered the nature and source of the funds that you are representing and that has caused additional concern. These conditions fall outside the parameters of the compliance documentation you have submitted for approval, so we must stop any progress at this time.”

122. Chabot introduced Investor 22 to Schultz.

123. On or about May 26, 2008 Schultz invested \$50,000 of funds in Big Sky Equity’s account with Global Holdings Group, LLC. Schultz wired the money to Macon Bank, 1617 Spartanburg Highway, Hendersonville, NC 28792 to the account of Asset Management of the Carolinas. In a joint venture agreement, Global Holdings represented that it would provide \$1,000,000 in leverage from the \$50,000 investment and would provide an investment return of \$1,000,000 on June 26, 2008 and another investment return of \$1,000,000 on July 26, 2008. The agreement was signed by R. Dane Freeman as a managing member of Global Holdings Group, LLC.

124. When Global Holdings failed to honor the promise, Schultz entered into a Release and Acknowledgment on October 7, 2008 which stated that he would release Global Holdings, M. Mark McAdams, Ralph Jones, R. Dane Freeman, Chuck Walker, McNair Law Firm, Judy Casuey and Phoenix Investment Group, LLC once these

individuals had paid the outstanding balance due of \$1,950,000. To date, neither Schultz nor Big Sky Equity group has received the promised \$1,950,000.

125. Global Holdings Group LLC is located at 611 8th Ave. N., Surfside Beach, SC 29575. Registered agent for Global Holdings is M. Mark McAdams of the same address.

126. Schultz told the Department that he also used Investors 14 – 22's investments in Big Sky Equity as follows: \$50,000 to pay off Schultz's personal loan; \$100,000 for compensation to Schultz for work never performed; \$200,000 commissions wired to Stokes before any returns were accrued; \$140,000 to develop a "spec home" for Schultz's investment purposes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Montana State Auditor is the Commissioner of Securities (Commissioner) pursuant to §§ 30-10-107, 2-15-1901, MCA.

2. The Commissioner has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to §§ 30-10-102, 30-10-107, 30-10-201, 30-10-202, 30-10-301, 30-10-304, 30-10-305, and 30-10-307, MCA.

3. The administration of the Securities Act of Montana, § 30-10-101, *et seq.*, MCA, is under the supervision and control of the Securities Commissioner, pursuant to § 30-10-107, MCA.

4. The Commissioner shall administer the Securities Department to protect investors, pursuant to § 30-10-102, MCA.

5. Two Feathers violated § 30-10-201(1), MCA, when he offered or sold his Private Placement Investment Platform involving the purchase and sale of US Treasury STRIPS to Investors 1, 2 and 3 without being registered in Montana as a salesperson.

6. Two Feathers violated § 30-10-201 (13) (g) when he engaged in dishonest and unethical practices in the securities business by misappropriating the money entrusted to him by Investors 1 and 2 and by engaging in other conduct such as forgery, theft, non-disclosure, incomplete disclosure, misstatement of material facts, manipulative and deceptive practices.

7. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2 and Investor 3 they would receive weekly rates of return between 200% - 400% when there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

8. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security omitted the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

9. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts relevant to the financial condition of the TLT Holdings Corporation.

10. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts related to specific information about how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

11. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts relevant to background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited, to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person

12. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts relevant to the risks involved with the investment.

13. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that all invested monies would be held in Two Feathers' personal brokerage accounts.

14. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that investors' monies were to be used as collateral to fund a line of credit for TLT Holdings Corporation.

15. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things

16. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3 by offering them investment opportunities that promised weekly rates of return between 200% - 400% when there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

17. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor

3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

18. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of the TLT Holdings Corporation

19. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

20. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

21. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor

3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

22. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that all invested monies would be held in Two Feathers' personal brokerage accounts.

23. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that the investors' investment monies were to be used as collateral to fund a line of credit for TLT Holdings Corporation.

24. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor 3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors.

25. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 1 and Investor 1, Complainant 2 and Investor 2, and Investor

3, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by failing to produce the promised rates of returns, among other things.

26. Two Feathers and TLT Holdings Corporation violated § 30-10-325(1), MCA, by conducting and promoting an illegal pyramid scheme in the specific form of a Ponzi scheme when they offered or sold a fake or fraudulent investment opportunity using US Treasury Strips to investors so they could provide new investment dollars to pay existing investment obligations and for their own personal enrichment.

27. Swor violated § 30-10-201(1), MCA, when he offered to obtain a loan for Complainant 5 in exchange for an advance-fee without being registered in Montana as a salesperson.

28. Swor violated § 30-10-201 (13) (g), MCA, and ARM § 6.10.126, when he engaged in dishonest and unethical practices in the securities business by misappropriating the money entrusted to him by Complainant 5.

29. Swor violated § 30-10-201 (13) (g), MCA, and ARM § 6.10.126, by engaging in other conduct such as forgery, theft, non-disclosure, incomplete disclosure, misstatement of material facts, manipulative and deceptive practices.

30. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-202, MCA, when they offered to obtain a loan for Complainant 5 in exchange for an advance-fee.

31. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1) (b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Complainant 5 they would receive loan proceeds on or before July 22, 2008 if Complainant 5 would pay them an advance-fee.

32. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

33. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that the security they were offering and selling was not registered in the State of Montana.

34. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material facts about the financial condition of the issuer.

35. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts regarding the specific information about how the proposed loan funds were to be generated.

36. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material facts about background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

37. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material facts about the risks involved with the investment.

38. Swor and DTF Consulting Group Trust violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the issuer's background and/or

history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors.

39. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Investor 4 and Investor 5 they would receive monthly rates of return \$1.5 million when there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

40. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Investor 4 and Investor 5 they would receive a rate of return of \$400 million in 11 months when there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

41. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that all invested monies would be held in brokerage accounts owned by DTF Consulting and controlled by Two Feathers.

42. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that the line of credit they presented as support for their scam to Investors 4 and 5 is fraudulent.

43. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material

fact that Investor 4's invested monies would not be used to purchase any type of investment platform or other security.

44. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investor 4's invested monies would be wired out of the country for the benefit of Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group.

45. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

46. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

47. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 4 and Investor 5 by offering them investment opportunities that promised rates of return that could not be produced under any set of circumstances.

48. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 4 and Investor 5 in connection with the offer and

sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

49. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 4 and Investor 5 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of these Respondents.

50. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 4 and Investor 5 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

51. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 4 and Investor 5 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

52. Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 4 and Investor 5 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

53. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 they would receive monthly rates of return pursuant to a "leverage program" of a certain size and nature where there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

54. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that all invested monies from Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 would be held in brokerage or bank accounts owned by DTF Consulting and controlled by Two Feathers.

55. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 invested monies would not be used to purchase any type of investment platform or other security.

56. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 invested monies would be used for the benefit of Two Feathers, Swor and DTF Consulting Group.

57. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

58. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

59. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 by offering them investment opportunities that promised rates of return that could not be produced under any set of circumstances.

60. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

61. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of these Respondents.

62. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in connection with the offer and sale of

a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

63. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

64. Two Feathers and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

65. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Complainant 7 and Investor 11 they would receive monthly rates of return pursuant to an investment platform of a certain size and nature where there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

66. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that all invested monies from Complainant 7 and Investor 11 would be held in brokerage or bank accounts owned or controlled by Curtis or Two Feathers.

67. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Complainant

7 and Investor 11 invested monies would not be used to purchase any type of investment platform or other security.

68. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Complainant 7 and Investor 11 invested monies would be used for the benefit of Curtis.

69. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Complainant 7 and Investor 11, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

70. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Complainant 7 and Investor 11, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

71. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Complainant 7 and Investor 11, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 7 and Investor 11, by offering them investment opportunities that promised rates of return that could not be produced under any set of circumstances.

72. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 7 and Investor 11 in connection with the offer and sale of a

security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

73. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainants 7 and Investor 11 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of these Respondents.

74. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 7 and Investor 11 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

75. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 7 and Investor 11 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

76. Schultz, Harvest and Curtis violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Complainant 7 and Investor 11 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

77. All of the named Respondents violated § 30-10-201, MCA, by failing to be properly registered prior to engaging in the business of securities in or from Montana.

78. Schultz, Curtis, Paulin, and Swor violated § 30-10-321, MCA, by knowingly providing substantial assistance to Two Feathers in his commission of securities fraud and operation and promotion of a Ponzi scheme.

79. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Investor 12 it would receive monthly rates of return pursuant to an investment platform of a certain size and nature where there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

80. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that all invested monies from Investor 12 would be held in brokerage or bank accounts owned or controlled by Two Feathers, Swor and/or Curtis and Harvest Investment Holdings.

81. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investor 12 invested monies would not be used to purchase any type of investment platform or other security.

82. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investor 12, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

83. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investor 12, omitted material facts related to the

issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

84. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investor 12, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 12, by offering it investment opportunities that promised rates of return that could not be produced under any set of circumstances.

85. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 12 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

86. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 12 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of these Respondents.

87. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 12 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

88. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 12 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding background information on the officers and directors, including but not

limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

89. Swor and Paulin violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 12 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

90. Two Feathers, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Investor 13 it would receive monthly rates of return pursuant to an investment platform of a certain size and nature where there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

91. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that all invested monies from Investor 13 would be held in brokerage or bank accounts owned or controlled by Curtis or Two Feathers.

92. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investor 13 invested monies would not be used to purchase any type of investment platform or other security.

93. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer

and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investor 13 invested monies would not be used for the benefit of Investor 13.

94. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investor 13, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history, including but not limited to, any regulatory action issued against the issuer or its officers and directors, among other things.

95. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investor 13, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13, by offering it investment opportunities that promised rates of return that could not be produced under any set of circumstances.

96. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

97. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of these Respondents.

98. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

99. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding background information on the officers and directors, including but not limited to their names, principal occupations for the previous five years, and the ownership or interest held by each person.

100. Two Feathers, Curtis, Harvest Investment Holdings, TLT Holdings and DTF Consulting Group violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

101. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when they made untrue statements of material fact when they told Investors 14 through 22 they would receive monthly rates of return pursuant to an investment platform of a certain size and nature where there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

102. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investors' 14 through 22 invested monies would not be used to purchase any type of investment platform or other security.

103. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security, omitted the material fact that Investors' 14 through 22 invested monies would not be used for the benefit of Investors 14 through 22.

104. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investors 14 through 22, omitted material facts related to the issuer's background and/or history.

105. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security to Investors 14 through 22, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 14 through 22, by offering them investment opportunities that promised rates of return that could not be produced under any set of circumstances.

106. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 14 through 22 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material fact that they were not registered to sell securities in the State of Montana.

107. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud

or deceit upon Investors 14 through 22 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to the financial condition of these Respondents.

108. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 14 through 22 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts relevant to how the proposed rates of return were to be generated.

109. Schultz and Big Sky Equity violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon Investor 13 in connection with the offer and sale of a security, by omitting the material facts regarding the risks involved with the investment.

110. Chabot violated § 30-10-201, MCA, by engaging in the act of advising Investors 14 through 22 as to the advisability of investing in securities with Schultz and doing so for compensation, without first being properly licensed to act as an investment advisor.

111. Chabot violated § 30-10-301 (1) (b), MCA, by omitting material facts necessary to make her advice to Investors 14 through 22 to invest with Schultz not misleading.

112. Chabot violated § 30-10-301 (1) (c), MCA, by engaging in acts, practices and courses of business that acted as a fraud or deceit upon Investors 14 through 22.

113. Global Holdings violated § 30-10-301 (1) (b), MCA, in connection with the offer and sale of a security when it made untrue statements of material fact when it told Schultz he would receive monthly rates of return pursuant to an investment platform of a

certain size and nature where there was no set of circumstances in which the investment could return this type of a rate.

114. Global Holdings violated § 30-10-201, MCA, by offering and selling securities in Montana to Schulz without first being properly licensed to do so.

RELIEF SOUGHT

1. Order Respondents to pay restitution to the victims in this case, including 10% interest from the date of the wrong-doing, pursuant to § 30-10-309, MCA;

2. Order Respondents to pay fines not to exceed \$5,000 for each identifiable violation of § 30-10-301 (1) (b), MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305 (3), MCA;

3. Order Respondents to pay fines not to exceed \$5,000 for each identifiable violation of § 30-10-301(1) (c), MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA;

4. Order Respondents to pay fines not to exceed \$5,000 for each identifiable violation of § 30-10-201 (13) (g), MCA, and ARM § 6.10.126, pursuant to §§ 30-10-201 (18) and 30-10-305(3), MCA;

5. Order Respondents to pay a fine not to exceed \$5,000 for violating § 30-10-201, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-201 (18), MCA;

6. Order Respondents to pay fines not to exceed \$5,000 for each identifiable violation of § 30-10-202, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305 (3), MCA; and

7. Any other such relief allowed by law or required by justice.

PUBLIC INTEREST

For any and all of the reasons set forth above, it is in the public interest and will protect Montana investors to:

1. Seek an injunction from a court of competent jurisdiction enjoining Respondents from further violations of the Act and from transferring any assets from the various brokerage accounts identified herein;
2. Order Respondents to pay administrative fines in an amount and upon such terms and conditions as supported by the evidence and determined at hearing of this matter;
3. Order Respondents to pay restitution to Complainants/Investors in an amount and upon such terms and conditions, including the statutory 10% per annum interest on the losses Complainants/Investors incurred, as supported by the evidence and determined at hearing of this matter; and
4. Take such other actions which may be in the public interest and necessary and appropriate for the protection of Montana investors.

STATEMENT OF RIGHTS

You are entitled to a hearing to respond to this notice, and to present evidence and arguments on all issues involved in this case. You have a right to be represented by an attorney at any and all stages of this proceeding. You may demand a formal hearing before a hearing examiner appointed by the Commissioner pursuant to the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, §§ 2-4-601, MCA, *et seq.*, including § 2-4-631, MCA. If you demand a hearing, you will be given notice of the time, place and the nature of the hearing.

If you want to contest the proposed action under the jurisdiction of the Commissioner, you must advise the Commissioner within fifteen (15) days of the date you receive this notice. You must advise the Commissioner of your intent to contest the proposed action by writing to Roberta Cross Guns, Special Assistant Attorney General, State Auditor's Office, 840 Helena Avenue, Helena, Montana 59601. Your letter must clearly indicate whether you demand a

hearing, or whether you waive formal proceedings and, if so, what informal proceedings you prefer for disposition of this case. Pursuant to § 2-4-603(2), MCA, you may not request to proceed informally if the action could result in suspension, revocation or any other adverse action against a professional license. Should you request a hearing on the matters raised in this Notice, a hearing must be held within 45 days of the request, unless postponed by mutual consent of the parties, pursuant to § 33-1-701 (2), MCA.

Should you request a hearing, you have the right to be accompanied, represented, and advised by counsel. If the counsel you choose has not been admitted to practice law in the state of Montana, he or she must comply with the requirements of *Application of American Smelting and Refining Co.*, (1973), 164 Mont. 139, 520 P.2d 103 and *Montana Supreme Court Commission on the Unauthorized Practice of Law v. Jerry O'Neil* (2006), 2006 MT 284, 334 Mont. 311, 147 P.3d 200.

CONTACT WITH SECURITIES COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

If you have questions or wish to discuss this matter, please contact Roberta Cross Guns, legal counsel for the State Auditor, at 840 Helena Avenue, Helena, MT, 59601, (406)-444-2040 or, within Montana, (800)-332-6148. If an attorney represents you, please make any contacts with this office through your attorney.

POSSIBILITY OF DEFAULT

Failure by Respondents to give notice or to advise of Respondents' demand for a hearing or informal procedure within fifteen (15) days, will result in the entry of a default order imposing the disciplinary sanctions against Respondents, without further notice to Respondents, pursuant to 6.2.101, Administrative Rules of Montana and the Attorney General's Model Rule 10, 1.3.214.

DATED this 12th day of January, 2009.

MONICA LINDEEN

State Auditor

Commissioner of Securities and Insurance

By: Roberta Cross Guns
Roberta Cross Guns
Special Assistant Attorney General

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I delivered a true and accurate copy of the foregoing **THIRD AMENDED** Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action and Opportunity for Hearing to the following persons, this 12th day of January, 2009:

Brad Aklestad
Attorney at Law
PO Box 987
Shelby, MT 59474

Larry Jent
Attorney at Law
506 East Babcock
Bozeman, MT 59715

Mark Prichard
Attorney at Law
7553 York Road
Helena, MT 59602

Terrence Paulin
13506 Summerport Village Parkway,
Windmere FL 34786

Theresa Chabot
P.O. Box 4514
Whitefish, MT 59937

Global Holdings Group LLC
611 8th Ave. N.
Surfside Beach, SC 29575

Roberta Cross Lewis